

Shannon entropy: a study of confined hydrogenic-like atoms

Wallas S. Nascimento¹, Frederico V. Prudente²

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal da Bahia, 40170-115 Salvador, BA, Brasil

Abstract

The Shannon entropy in the atomic and molecular context is presented by using as test cases the hydrogenic-like atoms H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} confined by an impenetrable spherical box. Novel forms for entropic uncertainty relation and Shannon entropies S_r and S_p are proposed to ensure their dimensionless characteristic. The electronic ground state energy and the quantities S_r , S_p and S_t are calculated for the hydrogenic-like atoms to different confinement radii by using a variational method. The global behavior of these quantities and different conjectures are analyzed. The results are compared, when available, with those previously published.

Keywords:

Information Theory, Shannon Entropies, Entropic Uncertainty Relation, Confined Quantum Systems.

1. Introduction

The basis of the mathematical theory of communication or information theory was established by Shannon in 1948 [1], where it was analyzed substantially the communication process, i.e., how is the interaction between different mechanisms. This connection between mechanisms, usually by sending and receiving a message (oral or written forms, pictures, music, etc.), happened to have a statistical and probabilistic approach, making their semantic aspects irrelevant with regard to the engineering ones. Information in this field is not the meaning, but it is a measure of freedom to select a message among many others [2]. A fundamental concept is the information entropy or Shannon entropy which has complementary interpretations - quantity of information (after measurement) or uncertainty (before measurement) - in a given probability distribution [3].

Information theory, through of the Shannon entropies in the position (S_r) and momentum (S_p) spaces, has been applied in the context of the quantum

¹wallasantos@gmail.com

²prudente@ufba.br

theory from the 1980s [4–7]. More specifically, we can cite the derivation of analytical relations in systems such as a particle confined in a box [8, 9], harmonic oscillator [10, 11] and hydrogen atom [12]. Moreover, the treatment of electronic excitations [13, 14] and complexity of systems [15, 16] and characterization of chemical processes [17, 18] have also used the Shannon entropy in their analysis. And in recent years the Shannon entropy has been studied from the perspective of spatially confined quantum systems [19–24].

Confined systems have their physico-chemical properties modified in relation for the free system and, in a first approximation, atoms and molecules under high pressure, quantum dots and dense astrophysical objects can be treated as confined systems in spherical barrier of infinite potential (view Refs. [25, 26] and references). Thus, such systems have been the subject of numerous studies with different methods to impose the confinement, making this a relevant field of research [27–32]. A particularly interesting situation is when the confinement is rigorous (strong confinement regime). For example, it was shown that in such regime the energies of confined hydrogenic-like atoms tends to the values of a particle confined in a spherical cage [33]. Recent studies for the harmonic potential showed that such analysis can be made based on Shannon entropy [22, 23].

The entropy sum (S_t), quantity usually defined as the sum of the Shannon entropies in the position and momentum spaces, has occupied a privileged place in the study of quantum systems in the context of the information theory. For instance, an entropic uncertainty relation that it has been treated as a stronger version of the Heisenberg uncertainty relation can be derived from the entropy sum [34]. Additionally, we can cite the conjectures pointing to possible universal relationships of that quantity [35, 36], as well as the promising study of electron correlation based on the value of the entropy sum [37, 38]. More specifically, studies have shown that improvements in basis function set (according to variational energy criteria) leads to an increase of the entropy sum for certain atomic systems, conjecturing that this quantity can be regarded as an indicator of the quality of basis functions [35, 39]. Furthermore, previous studies show that the value of the entropy sum not depend of the atomic number for fundamental or excited state of free hydrogenic-like atoms, while in an isoelectronic series of multielectronic atoms its value depends of the atomic number [19, 37].

Despite the success of using the Shannon entropy in quantum theory of atoms and molecules, one aspect that still requires discussion is its dimensional problem. The informational entropy proposed by Shannon is defined from a dimensionless (discrete or continuous) probability distribution [1], while the probability density functions in the position and momentum spaces on the quantum mechanics have dimension of inverse of volume. However, some recent studies have tried to solve this dubiousness explicitly, even so somewhat artificial [40, 41].

In the present work, we have developed a theoretical study on one-electron atomic systems confined within impenetrable walls, more precisely the hydrogen (H_c), helium cation (He_c^+) and lithium dication (Li_c^{2+}), by using the Shannon entropies and entropy sum. Initially, we suggest novel expressions to the entropy

sum (and the correspondent entropic uncertainty relation) and Shannon entropies S_r and S_p that ensure their dimensionless characteristic. Subsequently, we have performed the calculations of the energy, S_r , S_p and S_t of H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground state for different confinement radii by using a variational method. The global behavior of these quantities are analyzed and two conjectures are tested, the first on the dependence of the atomic number and the second on the minimum value of S_t with respect to the confinement radius. Additionally, we have analyzed the validity of the entropic uncertainty relation and the use of S_t as a measure of basis function set quality in confined environments (for neutral and ionized atoms), and, finally, we have examined the strong confinement regime.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 are presented the concepts and definitions of the information theory in the quantum mechanics context and the novel expressions of S_t , S_r and S_p . In section 3 the systems of interest are presented and the trial ground state wave functions are proposed. The results for the confined hydrogenic-like atoms are shown in section 4, when pertinent analysis are done. And, finally, in the last section the main aspects of the present work are summarized and the concluding remarks are presented.

2. Concepts

In the context of the quantum theory is known that the measure of any two observables $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can only be done within of a limit of accuracy, resulting at the standard uncertainty relation. In particular, in the case of the position and momentum observables we have the Heisenberg uncertainty principle[42]. On the union of the quantum mechanics and information theory is obtained from entropic uncertainty relation, that last being treated as a stronger version of the Heisenberg uncertainty relation[34].

Usually the entropic uncertainty relation is derived of the entropy sum, a quantity defined as $S_t = S_r + S_p$, being S_r and S_p the Shannon entropies in the position and momentum spaces, respectively. The S_r and S_p quantities are defined by replacing the dimensionless probability distribution in the original Shannon entropy formulation with the probability densities in the position and momentum spaces. However, in quantum theory the probability density functions in the position $\rho(\vec{r}) = |\psi(\vec{r})|^2$ and in the momentum $\gamma(\vec{p}) = |\tilde{\psi}(\vec{p})|^2$ spaces have, respectively, dimension of inverse of position and momentum volume, where $\psi(\vec{r})$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{p})$ are the wave functions in position and momentum spaces normalized to unity.

Thus, to avoid the problem of $\rho(\vec{r})$ and $\gamma(\vec{p})$ dimensionality, we propose the following form for the entropic uncertainty relation in the context of the study of atoms and molecules:

$$S_t = \int d\vec{r} d\vec{p} \rho(\vec{r}) \gamma(\vec{p}) \ln(\hbar^n \rho(\vec{r}) \gamma(\vec{p})) \geq n(1 + \ln \pi) , \quad (1)$$

where n is the dimension in the position space. The relation (1) reaches equality when it is used a gaussian wave functions [22]. Moreover, this relation has the

property of being invariant when subjected to a scale transformation, in other words, is unaffected by a uniform elongation or compression of the atom[35].

The entropy sum (1) can be decomposed into two parts, the Shannon entropies in the position S_r and momentum S_p spaces, given by

$$S_r = - \int d\vec{r} \rho(\vec{r}) \ln(\lambda \rho(\vec{r})) = - \int d\vec{r} |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 \ln(\lambda |\psi(\vec{r})|^2) \quad (2)$$

and

$$S_p = - \int d\vec{p} \gamma(\vec{p}) \ln(\kappa \gamma(\vec{p})) = - \int d\vec{p} |\tilde{\psi}(\vec{p})|^2 \ln(\kappa |\tilde{\psi}(\vec{p})|^2) , \quad (3)$$

where the λ and κ constants ensure that the arguments of the logarithm function are dimensionless. A possible interpretation for S_r is to be a measure of the uncertainty in the location of the position of a particle, while S_p can be considered as a measure of the uncertainty in the determining of the momentum of the particle. Still, the Shannon entropy is a measure more satisfactory of the uncertainty (hence of the spread of the probability distribution) compared to the standard deviation[43, 44].

Note that the informational entropy introduced by Shannon is based on discrete or continuous probability distribution and has the characteristic of being dimensionless[1]. The Shannon S_r and S_p entropies [Eqs. (2) and (3)] proposed by us differ from those previously reported in the literature by the introduction of the constants λ and κ , respectively, with dimensions of position and momentum volume, resulting $\lambda \cdot \kappa = \hbar^n$ in the relation (1). The natural choice for these constants in the scope of the quantum theory is the following: $\lambda = a_0^n$ and $\kappa = (\frac{\hbar}{a_0})^n$, being a_0 the Bohr radius. We point out that in present formulation the values of entropy sum and Shannon entropies are independent of the system of units employed. Furthermore, by using atomic units (a_0 and $\hbar$ equals to unit), the equations (2) and (3), together with the relation (1), assume the current forms of the literature.

3. Methodology

The time independent radial Schrödinger equation for confined hydrogenic-like atoms in atomic units, assuming an infinite mass for the nucleus and putting it on the centre of the hard sphere with radius r_c , is given by

$$[-\frac{1}{2r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} + V(r)]\psi(r) = E\psi(r) , \quad (4)$$

with

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Z}{r} & r < r_c \\ \infty & r \geq r_c \end{cases} , \quad (5)$$

being $\psi(r)$ the radial wave function solution, l the angular momentum quantum number, E the energy for the stationary state and Z the atomic number ($Z = 1$, $Z = 2$ and $Z = 3$, respectively, for the H_c , He_c^+ e Li_c^{2+} confined atoms). In the

Coulomb potential the knowledge of Shannon entropy density is sufficient to get values of any observable [45].

The variational method [42] is employed to obtain the ($l = 0$) ground state solution of Eq. (4). For this, we have employed a trial wave function that it has the following form:

$$\tilde{\psi}(r) = \phi^c(r) = Ae^{-\alpha Zr}\Omega^c(r), \quad (6)$$

where $\Omega^c(r)$ is a cut-off function that satisfies $\Omega^c(r_c) = 0$ condition, A is a normalization constant and α is the parameter to be determined minimizing the total energy functional. The inclusion of $\Omega^c(r_c)$ in Eq.(6) guarantees the correct boundary condition due to the spherical spatial confinement for the confined hydrogenic-like atoms.

In the literature various proposals for the cut-off function $\Omega(r)$ are showed [25, 46, 47]. In the present work we have adopted three possible types. The first one is the polynomial function

$$\Omega_{1q}^c(r) = [1 - (\frac{r}{r_c})^q] \implies \phi_{1q}^c(r) \quad (7)$$

where q ($= 1, 2$ and 3) defines the polynomial degree. The other two types are the trigonometric functions as follows:

$$\Omega_2^c(r) = [\sin(1 - \frac{r}{r_c})] \implies \phi_2^c(r) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Omega_3^c(r) = [\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{r}{r_c})] \implies \phi_3^c(r). \quad (9)$$

Thus, the trial wave functions proposed here to describe the confined hydrogenic-like atoms are in the form of the notation and with the appropriate labels given by $\phi_{11}^c(r)$, $\phi_{12}^c(r)$, $\phi_{13}^c(r)$, $\phi_2^c(r)$ and $\phi_3^c(r)$.

4. Results and discussion

The ground state wave functions and energies of confined H_c , He_c^+ e Li_c^{2+} were determined for each type of trial wave functions and different values of r_c by the choice of the optimal variational parameter α . From the knowledge of $\psi(r)$, we were able to determine Shannon entropies S_r and S_p [Eqs. (2) and (3)] and the entropy sum S_t [Eq. (1)]. The results obtained are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 for all systems of interest.

The analysis of these results is organized as follows: the results for the ground state energy are discussed in subsection 4.1, while in subsection 4.2 the global behavior of S_r and S_p are presented. In subsection 4.3 the results of S_t are summarized with the discussion of the entropic uncertainty relation, suggestion of conjectures and use of S_t as a measure of basis function set quality in confined environments. Finally we have examined the limit for the strong confinement regime in subsection 4.4.

Table 1: Energy E and entropy sum S_t values as a function of the confinement radius r_c for the confined H_c , He_c^+ e Li_c^{2+} in the ground states. All values are in atomic units (a.u.).

r_c		Ref. [19]	$\phi_{11}^c(r)$	$\phi_{12}^c(r)$	$\phi_{13}^c(r)$	$\phi_2^c(r)$	$\phi_3^c(r)$
H_c							
0.5	E	14.748	14.8970	14.8152	14.8774	14.8316	14.7644
	S_t	6.497	6.5794	6.5766	6.5803	6.5779	6.5759
1.0	E	2.374	2.3906	2.3784	2.3878	2.3806	2.3741
	S_t	6.520	6.5449	6.5413	6.5458	6.5426	6.5395
1.5	E	0.437	0.4388	0.4371	0.4384	0.4371	0.4389
	S_t	6.506	6.5157	6.5110	6.5161	6.5125	6.5077
2.0	E	-0.125	-0.1250	-0.1240	-0.1249	-0.1245	-0.1211
	S_t	6.495	6.4960	6.4900	6.4958	6.4918	6.4853
2.5	E	-0.335	-0.3343	-0.3325	-0.3342	-0.3332	-0.3296
	S_t	6.494	6.4859	6.4794	6.4857	6.4813	6.4740
3.0	E	-0.424	-0.4225	-0.4206	-0.4224	-0.4213	-0.4180
	S_t	6.499	6.4838	6.4771	6.4837	6.4792	6.4715
3.5	E	-0.464	-0.4624	-0.4606	-0.4623	-0.4612	-0.4584
	S_t	6.509	6.4879	6.4813	6.4880	6.4833	6.4758
4.0	E	-0.483	-0.4811	-0.4796	-0.4811	-0.4801	-0.4779
	S_t	6.521	6.4960	6.4895	6.4964	6.4916	6.4843
4.5	E	-0.492	-0.4902	-0.4889	-0.4902	-0.4894	-0.4876
	S_t	6.533	6.5058	6.4995	6.5065	6.5016	6.4944
5.0	E	-0.496	-0.4947	-0.4937	-0.4948	-0.4941	-0.4927
	S_t	6.544	6.5156	6.5094	6.5165	6.5116	6.5045
5.5	E	-0.498	-0.4970	-0.4962	-0.4971	-0.4965	-0.4955
	S_t	6.552	6.5244	6.5184	6.5255	6.5206	6.5138
6.0	E	-0.499	-0.4982	-0.4976	-0.4983	-0.4979	-0.4971
	S_t	6.557	6.5312	6.5260	6.5331	6.5282	6.5216
He_c^+							
0.5	E	9.496	9.5623	9.5135	9.5513	9.5222	9.4965
	S_t	6.525	6.5449	6.5414	6.5458	6.5427	6.5394
1.0	E	-0.500	-0.5000	-0.4959	-0.4996	-0.4979	-0.4842
	S_t	6.498	6.4959	6.4900	6.4959	6.4918	6.4852
1.5	E	-1.696	-1.6902	-1.6823	-1.6897	-1.6850	-1.6721
	S_t	6.496	6.4838	6.4771	6.4837	6.4791	6.4715
2.0	E	-1.933	-1.9244	-1.9182	-1.9245	-1.9204	-1.9115
	S_t	6.517	6.4960	6.4895	6.4964	6.4916	6.4842
2.5	E	-1.985	-1.9787	-1.9747	-1.9790	-1.9762	-1.9708
	S_t	6.541	6.5157	6.5095	6.5165	6.5116	6.5046
3.0	E	-1.997	-1.9929	-1.9905	-1.9933	-1.9915	-1.9883
	S_t	6.555	6.5318	6.5260	6.5332	6.5282	6.5216
3.5	E	-1.999	-1.9972	-1.9957	-1.9975	-1.9964	-1.9945
	S_t	6.563	6.5425	6.5373	6.5442	6.5394	6.5334
4.0	E	-1.999	-1.9987	-1.9978	-1.9989	-1.9982	-1.9970
	S_t	6.565	6.5494	6.5447	6.5512	6.5467	6.5415
4.5	E	-2.000	-1.9993	-1.9987	-1.9995	-1.9990	-1.9983
	S_t	6.566	6.5538	6.5498	6.5557	6.5516	6.5470
5.0	E	-2.000	-1.9996	-1.9992	-1.9997	-1.9994	-1.9989
	S_t	6.566	6.5569	6.5532	6.5586	6.5548	6.5509
Li_c^{2+}							
0.5	E	3.933	3.9495	3.9338	3.9456	3.9343	3.9498
	S_t	6.505	6.5158	6.5110	6.5161	6.5125	6.5077
1.0	E	-3.816	-3.8029	-3.7853	-3.8018	-3.7913	-3.7622
	S_t	6.493	6.4838	6.4771	6.4837	6.4791	6.4715
1.5	E	-4.430	-4.4114	-4.4002	-4.4120	-4.4043	-4.3885
	S_t	6.527	6.5058	6.4995	6.5065	6.5016	6.4944
2.0	E	-4.493	-4.4839	-4.4786	-4.4849	-4.4808	-4.4736
	S_t	6.554	6.5318	6.5260	6.5331	6.5281	6.5216
2.5	E	-4.500	-4.4957	-4.4932	-4.4964	-4.4943	-4.4910
	S_t	6.563	6.5464	6.5414	6.5481	6.5435	6.5378
3.0	E	-4.500	-4.4984	-4.4972	-4.4989	-4.4978	-4.4961
	S_t	6.564	6.5539	6.5498	6.5557	6.5516	6.5470
3.5	E	-4.500	-4.4993	-4.4986	-4.4996	-4.4990	-4.4980
	S_t	6.566	6.5580	6.5546	6.5597	6.5561	6.5524

Table 2: The Shannon entropies S_r e S_p as a function of the confinement radius r_c for the confined H_c , He_c^+ e Li_c^{2+} in the ground state. All values are in atomic units (a.u.).

r_c		Ref.[19]	$\phi_{11}^c(r)$	$\phi_{12}^c(r)$	$\phi_{13}^c(r)$	$\phi_2^c(r)$	$\phi_3^c(r)$
H_c							
0.5	S_r	-1.470	-1.4537	-1.4598	-1.4531	-1.4577	-1.4652
	S_p	7.967	8.0331	8.0364	8.0334	8.0356	8.0411
1.0	S_r	0.529	0.5411	0.5344	0.5417	0.5366	0.5283
	S_p	5.991	6.0038	6.0069	6.0041	6.0060	6.0112
1.5	S_r	1.649	1.6559	1.6481	1.6564	1.6506	1.6408
	S_p	4.857	4.8598	4.8629	4.8597	4.8619	4.8669
2.0	S_r	2.397	2.3967	2.3872	2.3970	2.3902	2.3783
	S_p	4.099	4.0993	4.1028	4.0988	4.1016	4.1070
2.5	S_r	2.929	2.9198	2.9081	2.9200	2.9117	2.8969
	S_p	3.565	3.5661	3.5713	3.5657	3.5696	3.5771
3.0	S_r	3.316	3.2944	3.2800	3.2947	3.2845	3.2661
	S_p	3.183	3.1894	3.1971	3.1891	3.1947	3.2054
3.5	S_r	3.595	3.5594	3.5421	3.5600	3.5476	3.5259
	S_p	2.914	2.9285	2.9392	2.9280	2.9357	2.9499
4.0	S_r	3.791	3.7422	3.7225	3.7435	3.7290	3.7047
	S_p	2.730	2.7538	2.7670	2.7529	2.7626	2.7796
4.5	S_r	3.925	3.8650	3.8440	3.8673	3.8512	3.8255
	S_p	2.609	2.6408	2.6555	2.6392	2.6505	2.6689
5.0	S_r	4.011	3.9463	3.9249	3.9496	3.9326	3.9069
	S_p	2.533	2.5693	2.5845	2.5669	2.5791	2.5976
5.5	S_r	4.064	4.0000	3.9792	4.0043	3.9869	3.9623
	S_p	2.487	2.5244	2.5392	2.5212	2.5337	2.5515
6.0	S_r	4.095	4.0354	4.0163	4.0410	4.0239	4.0008
	S_p	2.462	2.4958	2.5097	2.4921	2.5043	2.5208
He_c^+							
0.5	S_r	-1.550	-1.5383	-1.5450	-1.5377	-1.5428	-1.5512
	S_p	8.075	8.0832	8.0864	8.0835	8.0855	8.0906
1.0	S_r	0.317	0.3172	0.3078	0.3176	0.3108	0.2988
	S_p	6.180	6.1787	6.1822	6.1783	6.1810	6.1864
1.5	S_r	1.237	1.2150	1.2005	1.2152	1.2050	1.1867
	S_p	5.259	5.2688	5.2766	5.2685	5.2741	5.2848
2.0	S_r	1.714	1.6627	1.6431	1.6641	1.6495	1.6252
	S_p	4.804	4.8333	4.8464	4.8323	4.8421	4.8590
2.5	S_r	1.937	1.8669	1.8455	1.8702	1.8531	1.8275
	S_p	4.604	4.6488	4.6640	4.6463	4.6585	4.6771
3.0	S_r	2.023	1.9566	1.9368	1.9616	1.9444	1.9213
	S_p	4.532	4.5752	4.5892	4.5716	4.5838	4.6003
3.5	S_r	2.055	1.9985	1.9815	2.0043	1.9885	1.9689
	S_p	4.508	4.5440	4.5558	4.5399	4.5509	4.5645
4.0	S_r	2.063	2.0203	2.0060	2.0263	2.0122	1.9959
	S_p	4.502	4.5291	4.5387	4.5249	4.5345	4.5456
4.5	S_r	2.065	2.0329	2.0209	2.0387	2.0263	2.0127
	S_p	4.501	4.5209	4.5289	4.5170	4.5253	4.5343
5.0	S_r	2.065	2.0409	2.0306	2.0463	2.0353	2.0239
	S_p	4.501	4.5160	4.5226	4.5123	4.5195	4.5270
Li_c^{2+}							
0.5	S_r	-1.650	-1.6399	-1.6477	-1.6394	-1.6452	-1.6550
	S_p	8.152	8.1557	8.1587	8.1555	8.1577	8.1627
1.0	S_r	0.021	-0.0014	-0.0159	-0.0012	-0.0114	-0.0297
	S_p	6.472	6.4852	6.4930	6.4849	6.4905	6.5012
1.5	S_r	0.633	0.5692	0.5481	0.5715	0.5553	0.5297
	S_p	5.894	5.9366	5.9514	5.9350	5.9463	5.9647
2.0	S_r	0.808	0.7402	0.7204	0.7452	0.7280	0.7049
	S_p	5.745	5.7916	5.8056	5.7879	5.8001	5.8167
2.5	S_r	0.843	0.7946	0.7790	0.8006	0.7856	0.7677
	S_p	5.719	5.7518	5.7624	5.7475	5.7579	5.7701
3.0	S_r	0.848	0.8165	0.8045	0.8223	0.8099	0.7963
	S_p	5.716	5.7373	5.7453	5.7334	5.7417	5.7507
3.5	S_r	0.849	0.8274	0.8179	0.8326	0.8223	0.8117
	S_p	5.717	5.7306	5.7367	5.7271	5.7338	5.7407

4.1. Energy

The ground state energy values of confined H_c , He_c^+ e Li_c^{2+} for each type of trial wave functions and different values of r_c are presented in Table 1, and their general behavior are displayed in Figure 1. In general, the energy values of these confined systems tend to the ones of the free systems when r_c goes to infinity and increases when r_c decreases, reaching positive values for small r_c (confinement effect on the electron [46]). In addition, one can clearly see that the one electron atomic system with the highest atomic number has lower energies for the same confinement radius.




Figure 1: Ground state energy E as a function of the confinement radius r_c for the confined H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} . All values are in atomic units (a.u.). Subtitles: 1= $\phi_{11}^c(r)$, 2= $\phi_{12}^c(r)$, 3= $\phi_{13}^c(r)$, 4= $\phi_{22}^c(r)$ and 5= $\phi_{33}^c(r)$.

Analyzing the quality of the trial wave functions used to describe the confined systems considered here by using the energy variational criterion, we can note that not necessarily the same trial wave function provides the best values for all r_c studied. In Table 1 we indicated in bold and italic the best variational energy value for each value of r_c and the corresponding value of S_t . For Li_c^{2+} , for example, the trial wave function $\phi_{13}^c(r)$ provides the best energy value for r_c comprised between 1.5 *a.u.* and 3.5 *a.u.*, while for $r_c = 1.0$ *a.u.* the trial wave function $\phi_{11}^c(r)$ presents the best result and, finally, for $r_c = 0.5$ *a.u.* the trial wave function that best represents the energy value is the $\phi_{12}^c(r)$.

4.2. Shannon entropies S_r and S_p

The Shannon entropies in the position (S_r) and momentum (S_p) spaces of confined H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground state for different values of confinement radius (r_c) are presented in Table 2, and their general behavior are displayed in

Figure 2. Based on such data, we have verified a decrease of S_r value and an increase of S_p values when r_c decreases and the confinement effect is enhanced. Additionally, we can observe that S_r is smaller (and S_p is greater) for larger values of Z , that is, such quantities have a dependence on atomic nucleus number, corroborating with the analytical work presented in Ref. [37]. These results evidence the interpretation that S_r indicates a measure of the uncertainty in the spatial location of the particle, and the global behavior of the quantities S_r e S_p are justifiable considering Eq. (1). An interesting results for H_c case is the intersection of S_r and S_p curves to $r_c \approx 3.0$ a.u.. At this point S_r and S_p assume the value near to 3.25.




Figure 2: Shannon entropies S_r e S_p as a function of the confinement radius r_c for the confined H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground states. Subtitles: 1= $\phi_{11}^c(r)$, 2= $\phi_{12}^c(r)$, 3= $\phi_{13}^c(r)$, 4= $\phi_2^c(r)$ and 5= $\phi_3^c(r)$.

Moreover, the numerical values of S_r (and S_p) for these three hydrogenic-like atoms approach when the confinement becomes more intense. In this region where the confinement effect is rigorous the quantity S_r may assume negative values, as shown in Table 2 and in Refs. [19, 20, 22]. This result has a simple explanation in the quantum context [20]: when r_c is reasonably small, the probability density becomes large and $a_0^n \rho(\vec{r}) > 1$. In this situation, $-\rho(\vec{r}) \ln a_0^n \rho(\vec{r}) < 0$ and, then, S_r may be negative. Remember that the wave-function normalization is in relation to the integral $\int \rho(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}$ and not to the density $\rho(\vec{r})$.

4.3. The entropy sum

The entropy sum (S_t), from the S_r and S_p values presented in Table 2, of confined H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground state for different values of confinement radius (r_c) and trial wave functions are presented in Table 1, and their general

behavior are displayed in Figure 3. A immediate observation is that the entropic uncertainty relation ($S_t \geq 6.4342$) is valid in the confined systems studied. Still, based on this table, we conjecture that the values of S_t for confined H-like atoms considered here retain a dependence on the atomic number Z and are invariant through of the relation $\frac{r_c}{Z}$. For the three free hydrogenic-like systems the value of entropy sum is invariant ($S_t = 6.5666$), corroborating with the analytical study presented in Ref. [37].

Moreover, we can identified in this Figure that S_t assumes a minimum value at $r_c \approx 1.0 \text{ a.u.}$, $r_c \approx 1.5 \text{ a.u.}$ and $r_c \approx 3.0 \text{ a.u.}$ for the Li_c^{2+} , He_c^+ and H_c . This is not observed in Ref. [19] to H_c case, where the authors found that the minimal value of S_t is to $r_c \approx 2.5 \text{ a.u.}$. However, we have believed in some kind of numerical problem in those results. Note from present results that the r_c value when the entropy sum is minimal decreases with increasing of the atomic number, maintaining the following relationship: $r_c = \frac{3}{Z}$. For this confinement radius, the Coulomb and the confining potentials are compensated by creating a state of lower entropic uncertainty.




Figure 3: Entropy sum S_t as a function of the confinement radius r_c for the confined 1H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground states. Subtitles: 1= $\phi_{11}^c(r)$, 2= $\phi_{12}^c(r)$, 3= $\phi_{13}^c(r)$, 4= $\phi_2^c(r)$ and 5= $\phi_3^c(r)$.

Additionally, we can test the Gadre-Sears-Chakravorty-Bendale conjecture (GSCB conjecture) proposed by Gadre *et al.* [35] that S_t can be regarded as a measure of basis set functions quality in confined environments analyzing the results on Table 1. In relation to H_c , the wave function that provides the best value of E also provides the largest value of S_t to r_c values between 2.0 a.u. and 6.0 a.u. . There is an exception at $r_c = 3.5 \text{ a.u.}$ where there is a inversion between $\phi_{11}^c(r)$ and $\phi_{13}^c(r)$ wave functions but the results are identical up to four figures. Similar results are observed for He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} to r_c values between

1.0 *a.u.* and 5.0 *a.u.* and 1.0 *a.u.* and 3.5 *a.u.*, respectively. However, the GSCB conjecture fails when the ground state energy of the confined H-like system is positive, and, for various r_c values, the best wave function (according to energy criteria) comes from the lowest value of S_t .

4.4. Strong confinement regime

In our previous study of confined harmonic oscillator [22], we proposed that the entropy sum can be employed to determine a strong or rigorous confinement regime. This regime is defined when the influence of the confining potential becomes greater than the free-system potential to specific configurations (or r_c values).

To verify this proposal in the present case studies, we have calculated for r_c values between 0.01 *a.u.* and 0.4 *a.u.* the S_t of confined H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in the ground state, comparing with the results for an electron confined in an impenetrable spherical cage (e_c^- system). In particular, we have utilized here only the $\phi_3^c(r)$ trial wave function to describe the confined H-like systems because it have showed the best behavior for small r_c values, while the exact solution for the ground state e_c^- system can be found in several textbooks (see, *e. g.*, Ref. [48]).

The ratios between the S_t values of e_c^- ($S_t(e_c^-)$) and of confined H-like systems ($S_t(H_c)$, $S_t(He_c^+)$ and $S_t(Li_c^{2+})$) are displayed in Figure 4, where $\xi_1 = \frac{S_t(e_c^-)}{S_t(H_c)}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{S_t(e_c^-)}{S_t(He_c^+)}$ and $\xi_3 = \frac{S_t(e_c^-)}{S_t(Li_c^{2+})}$.




Figure 4: Linear regressions of the ratios ξ_1 , ξ_2 and ξ_3 as a function of the confinement radius r_c .

In such a Figure, we have observed that the values of S_t of H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} tends to the one of e_c^- , that is $S_t(e_c^-) = 6.6172$. For example, at $r_c =$

0.01 *a.u.*, $S_t(\text{H}_c) = 6.6127$, $S_t(\text{He}_c^+) = 6.6123$ and $S_t(\text{Li}_c^{2+}) = 6.6106$. Thus, we can consider that for r_c values smaller than 0.1 *a.u.* the confined systems are certainly in a strong or rigorous confinement regime, and the effect of the Coulomb potential is supplanted by the impenetrable spherical cage potential.

5. Conclusion

In the present work a variational study on one-electron atomic systems (hydrogen, helium cation and lithium dication) confined within impenetrable walls was performed by using the Shannon entropies and entropy sum, where novel expressions to the entropy sum S_t and Shannon entropies S_r and S_p were proposed to ensure their dimensionless characteristic. Subsequently, the energy, S_r , S_p and S_t of H_c , He_c^+ and Li_c^{2+} in their ground state were calculated for different confinement radii by using different trial wave functions. In particular, such trial functions provide reasonable results with the computed energy values close to the results already presented in the literature.

The global behavior of these quantities were analyzed and two conjectures are tested. The first one establishes that S_t values for confined H-like systems are invariant through of the relation $\frac{r_c}{Z}$, while the second one determines that to $r_c = r_c^{mim} \equiv \frac{3.0}{Z}$ *a.u.* the entropy sums $S_t(\text{H}_c)$, $S_t(\text{He}_c^+)$ and $S_t(\text{Li}_c^{2+})$ assume their minimum values. Specifically, when the confinement radius is r_c^{mim} the Coulomb and the confining potentials are compensated by creating a state of lower entropic uncertainty, while for $r_c \gg r_c^{mim}$ the Coulomb potential becomes the most relevant. For $r_c \ll r_c^{mim}$ the confined H-like systems are in a strong or rigorous confinement regime when the effect of the impenetrable spherical cage potential becomes the most relevant.

In addition, we verified that the entropic uncertainty relation is valid for all confined systems studied. However, the GSCB conjecture that defines S_t as a measure of trial wave functions quality has only been verified in confined environments for confinement radius whose ground state energies are negative. When this energy becomes positive, the GSCB conjecture fails and, for various r_c , the best trial wave function comes from the lowest value of entropy sum.

As a further application we plan to study the He-like systems confined in an impenetrable spherical cage by using the Shannon entropies and the entropy sum within a variational framework.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Brazilian agencies CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) through grants to the authors.

References

References

- [1] C. Shannon, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27 (1948) 379–423 and 623–656. doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x.
- [2] C. E. Shannon, W. Weaver, The Mathematical Theory of Communication, Illini Books, Illinois, 1949.
- [3] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [4] K. D. Sen (Ed.), Statistical Complexity: Applications in Electronic Structure, Springer, Dordrecht, 2011. doi:10.1007/978-90-481-3890-6.
- [5] N. Mukherjee, A. Roy, A. K. Roy, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) (2015) 1–21. doi:10.1002/andp.201500196.
- [6] C.-H. Lin, Y. K. Ho, Chem. Phys. Lett. 633 (2015) 261–264. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2015.05.029.
- [7] N. Flores-Gallegos, Chem. Phys. Lett. 659 (2016) 203–208. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2016.07.034.
- [8] V. Majerník, L. Richterek, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) L49–L54. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/30/4/002.
- [9] V. Majerník, R. Charvot, E. Majerníková, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 2207–2216. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/32/11/013.
- [10] V. Majerník, T. Opatrný, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 (1996) 2187–2197. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/29/9/029.
- [11] J. R. Choi, M.-S. Kim, D. Kim, M. Maamache, S. Menouar, I. H. Nahm, Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam, Neth.) 326 (2011) 1381–1393. doi:10.1016/j.aop.2011.02.006.
- [12] R. J. Yáñez, W. Van Assche, J. S. Dehesa, Phys. Rev. A 50 (1994) 3065–3079. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.50.3065.
- [13] M. Hô, D. F. Weaver, V. H. Smith Jr., Phys. Rev. A 57 (1998) 4512–4517. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.57.4512.
- [14] P. Chattaraj, U. Sarkar, Chem. Phys. Lett. 372 (2003) 805–809. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(03)00516-5.
- [15] J. Sañudo, R. López-Ruiz, Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008) 5283. doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2008.06.012.

- [16] R. O. Esquivel, M. Molina-Espíritu, J. C. Angulo, J. Antolín, N. Flores-Gallegos, J. S. Dehesa, *Mol. Phys.* 109 (2011) 2353–2365. doi:10.1080/00268976.2011.607780.
- [17] R. Esquivel, N. Flores-Gallegos, C. Iuga, E. M. Carrera, J. C. Angulo, J. Antolín, *Phys. Lett. A* 374 (2010) 948–951. doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2009.12.018.
- [18] R. O. Esquivel, N. Flores-Gallegos, C. Iuga, E. M. Carrera, J. C. Angulo, J. Antolín, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 124 (2009) 445–460. doi:10.1007/s00214-009-0641-x.
- [19] K. D. Sen, *J. Chem. Phys.* 123 (2005) 074110–1 – 074110–9. doi:10.1063/1.2008212.
- [20] N. Aquino, A. Flores-Riveros, J. F. Rivas-Silva, *Phys. Lett. A* 377 (2013) 2062–2068. doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2013.05.048.
- [21] S. H. Patil, K. D. Sen, N. A. Watson, H. E. Montgomery Jr., *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* 40 (2007) 2147–2162. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/40/11/016.
- [22] W. S. Nascimento, F. V. Prudente, *Quim. Nova* 39 (2016) 757–764. doi:10.5935/0100-4042.20160045.
- [23] H. G. Laguna, R. P. Sagar, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin, Ger.)* 526 (2014) 555–566. doi:10.1002/andp.201400156.
- [24] X.-D. Song, G.-H. Sun, S.-H. Dong, *Phys. Lett. A* 379 (2015) 1402–1408. doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2015.03.020.
- [25] C. Le Sech, A. Banerjee, *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* 44 (2011) 105003–1 – 105003–9. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/44/10/105003.
- [26] M. N. Guimarães, F. V. Prudente, *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* 38 (2005) 2811–2825. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/38/15/017.
- [27] W. Jaskólski, *Phys. Rep.* 271 (1996) 1–66. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(95)00070-4.
- [28] M. M. Almeida, M. N. Guimarães, F. V. Prudente, *Rev. Bras. Ensino Fis.* 27 (2005) 395–405. doi:10.1590/S1806-11172005000300017.
- [29] K. D. Sen (Ed.), *Electronic Structure of Quantum Confined Atoms and Molecules*, Springer, Cham, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09982-8.
- [30] S. A. Cruz (Ed.), *Advances in Quantum Chemistry, Theory of Confined Quantum Systems* vol 57 e 58, Academic Press, New York, 2009.
- [31] F. V. Prudente, L. S. Costa, J. D. M. Viana, *J. Chem. Phys.* 123 (2005) 224701–1 – 224701–11. doi:10.1063/1.2131068.

- [32] L. S. F. Olavo, A. M. Maniero, C. R. de Carvalho, F. V. Prudente, G. Jalbert, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 49 (2016) 145004–1 – 145004–10. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/49/14/145004.
- [33] T. N. Barbosa, M. M. Almeida, F. V. Prudente, J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. 48 (2015) 055002–1 – 055002–10. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/48/5/055002.
- [34] I. Bialynicki-Birula, J. Mycielski, Commun. Math. Phys. 44 (1975) 129–132. doi:10.1007/BF01608825.
- [35] S. R. Gadre, S. B. Sears, S. J. Chakravorty, R. D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 32 (1985) 2602–2606. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.32.2602.
- [36] S. Massen, C. Moustakidis, C. Panos, Phys. Lett. A 299 (2002) 131–136. doi:10.1016/S0375-9601(02)00667-9.
- [37] N. L. Guevara, R. P. Sagar, R. O. Esquivel, Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003) 12507–1 – 12507–6. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.67.012507.
- [38] L. D. Site, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 115 (2014) 1396–1404. doi:10.1002/qua.24823.
- [39] M. Hô, R. P. Sagar, J. M. Prez-Jordá, V. H. Smith Jr., R. O. Esquivel, Chem. Phys. Lett. 219 (1994) 15–20. doi:10.1016/0009-2614(94)00029-8.
- [40] N. Flores-Gallegos, Chem. Phys. Lett. 650 (2016) 57–59. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2016.02.061.
- [41] C. F. Matta, M. Sichinga, P. W. Ayers, Chem. Phys. Lett. 514 (2011) 379–383. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2011.08.072.
- [42] J. J. Sakurai, J. Napolitano, Modern Quantum Mechanics, Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, 2011.
- [43] J. S. Dehesa, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, V. N. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. A 66 (2002) 062109–1 – 062109–7. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.66.062109.
- [44] A. Orłowski, Phys. Rev. A 56 (1997) 2545–2548. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.56.2545.
- [45] A. Nagy, Chem. Phys. Lett. 556 (2013) 355–358. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2012.11.065.
- [46] E. V. Ludenã, J. Chem. Phys. 66 (1977) 468–470. doi:10.1063/1.433964.
- [47] Y. P. Varshni, J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys. 30 (1997) L589–L593. doi:10.1088/0953-4075/30/18/001.
- [48] D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1995.